

1. Note 3 | 3.1.1

Prove the following two definitions of Linear Dependence are equivalent:

Definition 3.1: A set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is linearly dependent if there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n = 0$ and not all α_i 's are equal to zero.

Definition 3.2: A set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is linearly dependent if there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and an index i such that $\vec{v}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \vec{v}_j$. In words, a set of vectors is linearly dependent if one of the vectors could be written as a linear combination of the rest of the vectors.

2. Note 3 | 3.1.3

Prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1: If the system of linear equations $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has an infinite number of solutions, then the columns of \mathbf{A} are linearly dependent.

3. Note 4 | Example 4.1 (Example of Constructive Proof)

Prove that $\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \mathbb{R}^2$

4. Note 4 | Example 4.2 (Example of Proof By Contradiction)

Prove the following theorem by contradiction:

Theorem 4.1: If the columns of \mathbf{A} in the system of linear equations $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ are linearly dependent, then the system does not have a unique solution.

5. Note 4 | Example 4.3

Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a set of linearly dependent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Take any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Prove that the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{A}\vec{v}_1, \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_n\}$ is linearly dependent.

6. Note 4 | Example 4.4 (Example of Direct Proof)

Assume that vectors \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 and $\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$ are all solutions to the system of linear equations $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. Prove that \vec{b} must be the zero vector.

7. Discussion 3A | Q1

Given some set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, show the following:

- $\text{span} \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} = \text{span} \{\alpha \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, where α is a non-zero scalar. In other words, we can scale our spanning vectors and not change their span.
- $\text{span} \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} = \text{span} \{\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$. In other words, we can replace one vector with the sum of itself and another vector and not change their span.

8. Discussion 3A | Q2 Part 3

The distributivity property of matrix-vector multiplication holds for any vectors and matrices. Show for general $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that $\mathbf{A}(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_1 + \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_2$.

9. Note 6 | 6.1.1

Prove the following theorems:

- (a) **Theorem 6.1:** If \mathbf{A} is an invertible matrix, then its inverse must be unique.
- (b) **Theorem 6.2:** If $\mathbf{QP} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{RQ} = \mathbf{I}$, then $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}$. The matrix \mathbf{P} can be thought of as the “right” inverse of \mathbf{Q} and the matrix \mathbf{R} can be thought of as the “left” inverse of \mathbf{Q} .

10. Note 6 | 6.2

Prove the following theorems:

- (a) **Theorem 6.3:** If a matrix \mathbf{A} is invertible, there exists a unique solution to the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \vec{b}$ for all possible vectors \vec{b} .
- (b) **Theorem 6.4:** If a matrix \mathbf{A} is invertible, its columns are linearly independent.

11. Homework 4 | Problem 6(f)

Consider a system consisting of k reservoirs such that the entries of each column in the system’s state transition matrix sum to one.

Prove that if s is the total amount of water in the system at timestep n , then total amount of water at timestep $n + 1$ will also be s .

12. Discussion 4B | Q3

Is the set $V = \left\{ \vec{v} \mid \vec{v} = c \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, where $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 ?

13. Note 9 | 9.6.1

Prove the following theorem:

Theorem 9.1: Given two eigenvectors \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 corresponding to two different eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of a matrix \mathbf{A} , it is always the case that \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are linearly independent.

14. (Proof Out of Scope) Note 9 | 9.6.2 (Proof By Induction)

Prove the following theorem:

Theorem 9.2: Let $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ be eigenvectors of an $n \times n$ matrix with distinct eigenvalues. It is the case that all the \vec{v}_i are linearly independent from one another.

The proof of this theorem is out of scope, but is presented anyway just for reference for those who are interested.