This homework is due March 11, 2022, at 23:59.
Self-grades are due March 14, 2022, at 23:59.

Submission Format
Your homework submission should consist of one file.

- hw7.pdf: A single PDF file that contains all of your answers (any handwritten answers should be scanned)

Submit the file to the appropriate assignment on Gradescope.

1. Reading Assignment
For this homework, please read Notes 13 and 14. Note 13 will refresh you on how simple 1-D resistive touchscreens work, as well as the notion of power in electric circuits. Note 14 will cover a slightly more complicated 2-D resistive touchscreens and how to analyze them from a circuits perspective.

(a) Describe the key ideas behind how the 1D touchscreen works. In general, why is it useful to be able to convert a “physical” quantity like the position of your finger to an electronic signal (i.e. voltage)?

Solution: You should give yourself full-credit for any reasonable answers.

2. Power Analysis

Learning Goal: This problem aims to help you practice calculating power dissipation in different circuit elements. It will also give you insights into how power is conserved in a circuit.

(a) Find the expressions of power dissipated by each element in the circuit above. Remember to label voltage-current pairs using passive sign convention.

Solution: We label a ground node, and then solve for the currents $i_V, i_R$ and the voltages $V_R, V_I$. 
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Solving the above circuit using nodal analysis, we get

\[ i_R = \frac{V_s}{R} \]
\[ i_V = I - \frac{V_s}{R} \]
\[ v_I = -V_s \]
\[ v_R = V_s \]

Using this we can calculate

\[ P_{V_s} = V_s i_V = IV_s - \frac{V_s^2}{R} \]
\[ P_I = IV_I = -IV_s \]
\[ P_R = i_R v_R = \frac{V_s^2}{R} \]

Note that \( P_{V_s} + P_I + P_R = 0 \), i.e. energy provided is energy dissipated, which verifies our intuition about conservation of energy.

(b) Use \( R = 5k\Omega \), \( V_s = 5V \), and \( I = 5mA \). Calculate the power dissipated by the voltage source \( (P_{V_s}) \), the current source \( (P_I) \), and the resistor \( (P_R) \).

**Solution:**

\[ P_{V_s} = (0.005A)(5V) - \frac{(5V)^2}{5000\Omega} = 0.02W \]
\[ P_I = -(0.005A)(5V) = -0.025W \]
\[ P_R = \frac{(5V)^2}{5000\Omega} = 0.005W \]

Note that \( P_{V_s} + P_I + P_R = 0 \).

(c) Once again, let \( R = 5k\Omega \), \( V_s = 5V \). What does the value \( I \) of the current source have to be such that the current source **dissipates** 40mW? Note that it is possible for a current source to **dissipate** power, i.e. under passive sign convention, \( P_I = 40mW \). For this value of \( I \), compute \( P_{V_s}, P_I, \) and \( P_R \) as well.

As an aside: If the current source were delivering power it would have been \( P_I = -40mW \), under passive sign convention, but this is **NOT** what the question is asking about.

**Solution:**

Remember that using passive sign convention, an element whose power is negative is supplying power, and an element whose power is positive is dissipating power. Therefore, we want \( P_I = 40mW \). We know that \( P_I = -IV_s \). Therefore, \( I = \frac{-0.04W}{3V} = -0.008A \).

\[ P_{V_s} = (-0.008A)(5V) - \frac{(5V)^2}{5000\Omega} = -0.045W \]
\[ P_I = -(0.008A)(5V) = -0.04W \]
\[ P_R = \frac{(5V)^2}{5000\Omega} = 0.005W \]

Note that \( P_{V_s} + P_I + P_R = 0 \).
3. Volt and ammeter

**Learning Goal:** This problem helps you explore what happens to voltages and currents in a circuit when you connect voltmeters and ammeters in different configurations.

Use the following numerical values in your calculations: $R_1 = 1\, \text{k}\Omega$, $R_2 = 2\, \text{k}\Omega$, $R_3 = 3\, \text{k}\Omega$, $R_4 = 4\, \text{k}\Omega$, $R_5 = 5\, \text{k}\Omega$, $V_s = 10\, \text{V}$.

(a) Redraw the circuit diagram shown in Figure 1 by adding a voltmeter (letter $V$ in a circle and plus and minus signs indicating direction) to measure voltage $V_{ab}$ from node $V_a$ (positive) to node $V_b$ (negative). Calculate the value of $V_{ab}$. You may use a numerical tool such as IPython to solve the final system of linear equations.

**Solution:** Below is the redrawn circuit with the voltmeter. Note that it is also correct to have the voltmeter above $R_5$, as it will still be connected to the same nodes.

Using NVA analysis we need to label our nodes. Instead of labelling $u_i$ at each node, let’s directly use the potentials we are given in the problem. $V_a$ and $V_b$ are already labelled. The topmost node is $V_s$ and the bottom most node is our reference. We also label the currents in each element.
Using KCL at node $V_a$ and $V_b$, we find:

$$i_{R_1} - i_{R_5} - i_{R_2} = 0$$

$$i_{R_5} + i_{R_3} - i_{R_4} = 0$$

Let’s substitute IV relationships into the previous equations.

$$\frac{V_s - V_a}{R_1} - \frac{V_a - V_b}{R_5} - \frac{V_a}{R_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{V_a - V_b}{R_5} - \frac{V_s - V_b}{R_3} - \frac{V_b}{R_4} = 0$$

Gathering the $V_a$ and $V_b$ terms:

$$\left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_5}\right)V_a - \left(\frac{1}{R_5}\right)V_b = \frac{V_s}{R_1}.$$  

$$-\left(\frac{1}{R_5}\right)V_a + \left(\frac{1}{R_3} + \frac{1}{R_4} + \frac{1}{R_5}\right)V_b = \frac{V_s}{R_3}.$$  

Notice that we wrote our unknowns ($V_a$ and $V_b$) on the left side of the equation. We can then represent this in matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_5} & -\frac{1}{R_5} \\
-\frac{1}{R_5} & \frac{1}{R_3} + \frac{1}{R_4} + \frac{1}{R_5}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_a \\
V_b
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{V_s}{R_1} \\
\frac{V_s}{R_3}
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

Plugging in the values we were given into the matrix above and using Gaussian elimination we can find the vector of unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
V_a \\
V_b
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
6.58V \\
5.936V
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

From these node voltages, the voltage $V_{ab}$ can be calculated.

$$V_{ab} = V_a - V_b = 0.644V$$  

You should give yourself full-credit if your answer is off by a rounding error.
(b) Suppose you accidentally connect an ammeter in part (a) instead of a voltmeter. Calculate the value of $V_{ab}$ with the ammeter connected.

**Solution:** While you did not have to redraw the circuit, it is depicted below.

If we assume that the internal resistance of an ammeter is ideally zero, placing it across the nodes $V_a$ and $V_b$ will short them. So $V_a = V_b$. Thus $V_{ab} = 0$. The circuit below shows how the ammeter behaves as a short that unifies the previously separate nodes.

(c) Redraw the circuit diagram shown in Figure 1 by adding an ammeter (letter $A$ in a circle and plus and minus signs indicating direction) in series with resistor $R_5$. This will measure the current $I_{R_5}$ through $R_5$. Calculate the value of $I_{R_5}$.

**Solution:** The redrawn circuit with the ammeter measuring the current through $R_5$ is shown in the following circuit. It is also correct to draw the ammeter to the right of $R_5$ with the orientation of the meter remaining the same: the plus sign should be most proximal to the node labeled $V_a$, and the minus sign is most proximal to the node labeled $V_b$. 

After calculating the node voltages $V_a$ and $V_b$ from part a, we can write:

$$I_{R_5} = \frac{V_a - V_b}{R_5} = 128.8 \mu A$$

You should give yourself full-credit if your answer is off by a rounding error.

(d) Your friend accidentally connects a voltmeter in part (c) above, rather than an ammeter. Calculate the value of $I_{R_5}$ with the voltmeter connected.

**Solution:** While you were not required to redraw the new circuit, the circuit is shown below.

The resistance of a voltmeter is infinite and it behaves as an open circuit. There will be no current flowing through $R_5$. Therefore, $I_{R_5} = 0$. The circuit below depicts how the voltmeter behaves as an open that prevents any current through $R_5$. 
4. Printed electronics

**Learning Goal:** *This problem will help you practice thinking about electronic materials and their properties.*

All electronic devices require connections to conduct signals. These connections, or traces, are manufactured through different deposition methods such as physical vapor deposition and chemical vapor deposition. Another less traditional technique is printing. Inks can be made from metallic nanoparticles and deposited using inkjet printing, screen printing, and spray coating. A commonly printed metal ink is silver.

Here’s an example of a printed MRI antenna coil from research conducted in Prof. Ana Arias’s lab.

![Printed MRI antenna coil](image)

(a) Say we screenprinted a trace of silver 20mm in length and 4µm in width. Given the resistivity should be 0.001Ω·mm, and we measure the resistance of the trace to be 250Ω, what is the trace thickness?

**Solution:** We can rearrange the equation for resistance.

\[ R = \rho \frac{L}{Wt} \]

\[ t = \rho \frac{L}{WR} \]

\[ t = 0.001 \Omega \text{mm} \cdot \frac{20\text{mm}}{4\mu\text{m} \cdot 250\Omega} \]

\[ t = 20\mu\text{m} \]

*You can give yourself full credit if you solved the problem using previous values listed before the homework was updated.*
Nanoparticle inks often require a drying step called sintering, during which the nanoparticles coalesce and form conductive pathways. The manufacturer of our silver paste lists 100°C and 175°C as two possible sintering temperatures resulting in resistivities of 0.001 Ω·mm and 0.5 Ω·µm. Given that we need a trace 20mm in length, 4µm in width, and 20µm in thickness, what is the smallest resistance trace we can obtain and with which sintering temperature?

Solution: From part (a), we can see that the resistance resulting from the 100°C sintering temperature will give us a resistance of 250 Ω since all the dimensions of the trace are the same as before. We can find the resistance from the 175°C sintering temperature by plugging in values to the equation for resistance:

\[ R = \rho \frac{L}{Wt} = 0.5 \Omega \cdot \mu m \frac{20 \text{mm}}{4 \mu m \cdot 20 \mu m} = 125 \Omega \]

We would want to use the 175°C sintering temperature to achieve the lowest resistance trace.

You can give yourself full credit if you solved the problem using previous values listed before the homework was updated.

(c) Say the maximum resistance we can tolerate is 125 Ω. What would the cross sectional areas required be from both sintering temperatures to achieve the specified resistance for our 20 mm long trace?

Solution: We can rearrange the resistance equation to find the cross sectional area for the 100°C sintering temperature:

\[ A = \rho \frac{L}{R} = 0.001 \Omega \cdot \text{mm} \frac{20 \text{mm}}{125 \Omega} = 160 \mu m^2 \]

For 175°C the temperature:

\[ A = \rho \frac{L}{R} = 0.5 \Omega \cdot \mu m \frac{20 \text{mm}}{125 \Omega} = 80 \mu m^2 \]

You can give yourself full credit if you solved the problem using previous values listed before the homework was updated.

(d) Continuing with the design specifications from part (c), if our printing technique has a resolution limit of one micron (meaning the minimum width and minimum length achievable is one micron) and we want to aim for a trace thickness of at least one hundred micron for good film uniformity, then at which temperature should we sinter our printed silver?

Solution: We should sinter our printed silver at 100°C. The cross sectional area required by the higher sintering temperature is too small for our printing technique, given that the thickness, or H, already needs to be larger than 100µm.

You can give yourself full credit if you solved the problem using previous values listed before the homework was updated.

(e) One unique advantage of using printing as a deposition technique is that electronic devices can be fabricated on plastic flexible substrates rather than brittle silicon wafers, allowing for applications where lightweight, conformable electronics are needed. However, when heated, plastic substrates can begin to soften and deform. Using your answer from part (c) and part (d) what is one drawback from the lower sintering temperature, and what is one drawback from the higher sintering temperature?

Solution: We see from part (b) that with the same trace dimensions, the lower sintering temperature will result in a higher resistance trace. From part (c) we see that if our circuit design requires a low resistance trace, the higher sintering temperature may require us to print a small feature that is not feasible by our printing technique.

You can give yourself full credit if you solved the problem using previous values listed before the homework was updated.
5. Fruity Fred

**Learning Goal:** This problem will introduce the process of designing a sensing circuit for the purpose of measuring a physical quantity. This will also help to build your intuition for modeling physical elements.

Fruity Fred just got back from Berkeley Bowl with a bunch of mangoes, pineapples, and coconuts. He wants to sort his mangoes in order of weight, so he decides to use his knowledge from EECS16A to build a scale. He finds two identical bars of material (let’s call them $M_1$ and $M_2$) of length $L$ (in meters) and a cross-sectional area (i.e. width × thickness) of $A_c$ (in meters$^2$). The bars are made of a material with resistivity $\rho$. He knows that the length of these bars decreases by $k$ meters per Newton of force applied, while the cross-sectional area remains constant.

He builds his scale as shown below, where the top of the vertical bars are connected with an ideal electrical wire. The left side of the diagram shows the scale at rest (with no object placed on it), and the right side shows it when the applied force is $F$ (Newtons). The force $F$ is equally distributed between two bars, causing the length of each bar to decrease by $kF/2$ meters.

![Diagram of scale](image)

(a) Let $R_{AB}$ be the resistance between nodes $A$ and $B$ with the weights on the scale. Write an expression for $R_{AB}$ as a function of $A_c$, $L$, $\rho$, $F$, and $k$. *Hint: You can start by representing each bar as a resistor, then find how they are connected.*

**Solution:**

Redrawn in circuit representation, we note that Fred’s scale design looks like the following. Note that the values of the two resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$ are variable, and their lengths are changed by the same amount (given in the problem statement as $kF/2$) upon the application of a force $F$.

The key observation to make is that these two variable resistors are connected in series. *Don’t be confused by how the bars are physically loaded. They may be loaded in parallel by the fruit, but the resistors are connected electrically in series.*
Because the length of each bar decreases by \( k \) meters per Newton of force applied, and there are two bars supporting the scale, each bar can be thought to have half the force, \( \frac{F}{2} \), on it. Because of this, each bar’s length diminishes by \( k \cdot \frac{F}{2} \), to lengths \( L - \frac{kF}{2} \), when a force \( F \) is applied to Fred’s scale.

Therefore, the combination of \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) has a resistance \( R_{AB} = R_1 + R_2 \). From the problem statement, we note that because the bars \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) have identical properties of \( L, A_C, \) and \( \rho, R_1 = R_2 \) is calculated as \( R_1 = R_2 = \rho \frac{L - kF / 2}{A_c} \).

Therefore \( R_{AB} = 2 \cdot \rho \frac{L - kF / 2}{A_c} \).

(b) Fred wants to measure a voltage that changes based on how much weight is placed on his scale. He knows that \( R_{AB} \) will change with the weight on the scale. Design a circuit for Fred that outputs a voltage that is some function of the weight \( F \). This function does not have to be linear. Your circuit should include \( R_{AB} \), and you may use any number of voltage sources and resistors in your design. Be sure to label where the voltage should be measured in your circuit.

Also provide an expression relating the output voltage of your circuit to the force applied on the scale. This expression can contain any necessary parameters.

Hint: If you connected only a voltage source across A and B and measured the voltage (\( V_{AB} \)) between A and B, would \( V_{AB} \) change based on the value of \( R_{AB} \)? It turns out it wouldn’t. Why?

Hint: Consider tools we have learned in this class such as voltage dividers. Can you build a circuit using \( R_{AB} \) and \( R_{\text{fixed}} \), where \( R_{\text{fixed}} \) is a value you know and choose?

Solution:

There are many solutions for this problem. If your circuit solution also measures the voltage across just one of the resistances \( R_1 \) or \( R_2 \) rather than the series combination \( R_{AB} \), your solution is also acceptable. Our solution here chooses the elemental voltage across \( R_{AB} \) in a simple voltage divider circuit to measure as \( V_{out} \).

We can use the voltage divider equation:

\[
V_{out} = \frac{R_{AB}}{R_{\text{fixed}} + R_{AB}} V_{in}
\]

\[
V_{out} = \frac{2 \rho (L - \frac{kF}{2})}{R_{\text{fixed}} + 2 \rho (L - \frac{kF}{2})} V_{in} = \frac{2 \rho (L - \frac{kF}{2})}{R_{\text{fixed}} A_c + 2 \rho (L - \frac{kF}{2})} V_{in}
\]

6. Resistive Touchscreen

Learning Goal: The objective of this problem is to provide insight into modeling of resistive elements. This will also help to apply the concepts from resistive touchscreen.
In this problem, we will investigate how a resistive touchscreen with a defined thickness, width, and length can actually be modeled as a series combination of resistors. As we know the value of a resistor depends on its length.

Figure 2 shows the top view of a resistive touchscreen consisting of a conductive layer with resistivity $\rho_1$, thickness $t$, width $W$, and length $L$. At the top and bottom it is connected through perfect conductors ($\rho = 0$) to the rest of the circuit. The touchscreen is wired to voltage source $V_s$.

Use the following numerical values in your calculations: $W = 50$ mm, $L = 80$ mm, $t = 1$ mm, $\rho_1 = 0.5\, \Omega\, m$, $V_s = 5V$, $x_1 = 20$ mm, $x_2 = 45$ mm, $y_1 = 30$ mm, $y_2 = 60$ mm.

Figure 2: Top view of resistive touchscreen (not to scale). z axis i.e. the thickness not shown (into the page).

(a) Draw a circuit diagram representing Figure 2, where the entire touchscreen is represented as a single resistor. Note that no touch is occurring in this scenario. Remember that circuit diagrams in general consist of only circuit elements (resistors, sources, etc) represented by symbols, connecting wires, and the reference/ ground symbol. Calculate the value of current $I_s$ based on the circuit diagram you drew. Do not forget to specify the correct unit as always.

Solution:
The touchscreen resistance can be found from the following expression:

\[ R_{\text{touch}1} = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{L}{A} = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{L}{W \cdot t} = 0.5 \Omega \text{m} \left( \frac{80\text{mm}}{50\text{mm} \cdot 1\text{mm}} \right) \]

\[ R_{\text{touch}1} = 800 \Omega \]

From KCL, we can write:

\[ I_s + I_{R_1} = 0 \quad (1) \]
\[ I_s = -I_{R_1} \quad (2) \]

Therefore, the current \( I_{R_1} \) is equal to:

\[ I_{R_1} = \frac{V_s}{R_{\text{touch}1}} = \frac{5}{800} \text{A} = 6.25 mA \]
And the current $I_s$ is equal to:

$$I_s = -I_{R_1} = -6.25mA$$

(b) Let us assume $u_{12}$ is the node voltage at the node represented by coordinates $(x_1, y_2)$ of the touchscreen, as shown in Figure 3. What is the value of $u_{12}$? You should first draw a circuit diagram representing Figure 3, which includes node $u_{12}$. Specify all resistance values in the diagram. Does the value of $u_{12}$ change based on the value of the x-coordinate $x_1$?

*Hint: You will need more than one resistor to represent this scenario.*

![Figure 3: Top view of resistive touchscreen showing node $u_{12}$.](image)

**Solution:**

We can represent this setup with the circuit shown below.
Using voltage division, \( u_{12} \) can be found from the following expression:

\[
u_{12} = V_s \frac{R_{12}}{R_{11} + R_{12}}
\]

We know \( R_{11} = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{L - y_2}{W} \) and \( R_{12} = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{y_2}{W} \). We also know the \( \frac{\rho_1}{W} \) is common to both \( R_{11} \) and \( R_{12} \), so those terms will cancel out when we them in.

\[
u_{12} = V_s \frac{y_2}{L - y_2 + y_2} = V_s \frac{y_2}{L}
\]

\[
u_{12} = 5V \cdot \frac{60mm}{80mm} = 3.75V
\]

The value of \( u_{12} \) would not change based on the value of the x coordinate, because in our setup the current is flowing from the top to the bottom of the screen. This means that voltage is only dissipated in the y direction, so we can only measure the difference in the y coordinate. We would need another closed circuit where current could flow along the width W to determine where the finger touched in the x direction.

(c) Assume \( V_{ab} \) is the voltage measured between the nodes represented by touchscreen coordinates \((x_1, y_1)\) and coordinates \((x_1, y_2)\), as shown in Figure 4. Calculate the absolute value of \( V_{ab} \). As with the previous part, you should first draw the circuit diagram representing Figure 4, which includes \( V_{ab} \). Calculate all resistor values in the circuit. Hint: Try representing the segment of the touchscreen between these two coordinates as a separate resistor itself.

Figure 4: Top view of resistive touchscreen showing voltage \( V_{ab} \).

Solution:
We can use node voltage analysis to find $V_{ab}$.

Using KCL at the three labelled nodes:

$I_s = I_{R_{13}}$

$I_{R_{13}} = I_{R_{3}}$

$I_{R_{3}} = I_{R_{int}}$

We see that there is only one current, $I_s$, going through all resistor elements. Writing the IV relationships for each element:

$u_1 - u_2 = I_s R_{13}$

$u_2 - u_3 = I_s R_{int}$

$u_3 = I_s R_{3}$

Knowing that $V_{ab} = u_2 - u_3$, we can write:

$V_{ab} = u_2 - u_3 = I_s R_{int}$
Now we just need to find $I_s$. Looking at the IV relationship equations and using back substitution, we can write:

$$u_1 = V_s = I_{R_1} + I_{R_{int}} + I_{R_3}$$

$$I_s = \frac{V_s}{R_1 + R_{int} + R_3}$$

Finally, we get:

$$V_{ab} = \frac{R_{int}}{R_1 + R_{int} + R_3}$$

Each of the resistances can be calculated as $R_1 = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{L - y_2}{W \cdot t}$, $R_{int} = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{y_2 - y_1}{W \cdot t}$ and $R_3 = \rho_1 \cdot \frac{y_1}{W \cdot t}$. This gives for $V_{ab}$:

$$V_{ab} = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{L} V_s = \frac{3}{8} \cdot 5V = 1.875V$$

(d) Calculate (the absolute value of) the voltage between the nodes represented by touchscreen coordinates $(x_1, y_1)$ and coordinates $(x_2, y_1)$ in figure 4.

**Solution:**

The two points have the same y coordinate, therefore they have the same potential in our touchscreen model. Again, this is because the current is flowing from the top to the bottom of the screen, so the x position does not make a difference. Recall that the touchscreen is effectively being modeled as a single vertical resistor which can be considered as several resistors of varying lengths, all totaling to $L$. Hence, we do not consider the effect of the x-coordinate on potential – we just need to consider the difference in the y-coordinate between two points. Thus, $\Delta V = 0$.

(e) Calculate (the absolute value of) the voltage between the nodes represented by touchscreen coordinates $(x_1, y_1)$ and coordinates $(x_2, y_2)$ in figure 4.

**Solution:**

The two points have different $x$ and $y$ coordinates. However, the potential is the same across the x-axis for a fixed y coordinate, as was explained in the solution for part (d). Therefore, the problem is similar to part (c), since the potential is only determined by the y-coordinate of a point. Using the same equivalent circuit in part (c) we have:

$$\Delta V = V_s \frac{R_{int}}{R_1 + R_{int} + R_3} = 1.875V$$
Figure 5: Top view of two touchscreens wired in parallel (not to scale). z axis not shown (into the page).

(f) Figure 5 shows a new arrangement with two touchscreens. The two touchscreens are next to each other and are connected to the voltage source in the same way. The second touchscreen (the one on the right) is identical to the one shown in Figure 2, except for different width, $W_2$, and resistivity, $\rho_2$.

Use the following numerical values in your calculations: $W_1 = 50 \text{ mm}$, $L = 80 \text{ mm}$, $t = 1 \text{ mm}$, $\rho_1 = 0.5 \Omega \text{ m}$, $V_s = 5 \text{ V}$, $x_1 = 20 \text{ mm}$, $x_2 = 45 \text{ mm}$, $y_1 = 30 \text{ mm}$, $y_2 = 60 \text{ mm}$, which are the same values as before. The new touchscreen has the following numerical values which are different: $W_2 = 85 \text{ mm}$, $\rho_2 = 0.6 \Omega \text{ m}$.

Draw a circuit diagram representing Figure 5, where the two touchscreens are represented as two separate resistors. Note that no touch is occurring in this scenario.

Solution:

(g) Calculate the value of current $I_s$ for the two touchscreen arrangement based on the circuit diagram you drew in the last part.
Solution:
From KCL, we can write:

\[ I_s + I_{Rtouch1} + I_{Rtouch2} = 0 \]  \( (3) \)
\[ I_s = -(I_{Rtouch1} + I_{Rtouch2}) \]  \( (4) \)

Using Ohm’s Law for each element:

\[ I_s = -\left( \frac{V_s}{R_{touch1}} + \frac{V_s}{R_{touch2}} \right) \]

However, the resistance of the second touchscreen can be given by:

\[ R_{touch2} = \rho_2 \cdot \frac{L}{W_2 \cdot t} = 0.6 \Omega \text{m} \left( \frac{80 \text{ mm}}{85 \text{ mm} \cdot 1 \text{ mm}} \right) \]
\[ R_{touch2} = 564.7 \Omega \]

Therefore, using the resistance values for the first and second touchscreen and the applied voltage source, we have:

\[ I_s \approx -(6.25 \text{ mA} + 8.85 \text{ mA}) = -15.1 \text{ mA} \]

(h) Consider the two points: \((x_1, y_2)\) in the touchscreen on the left, and \((x_2, y_2)\) in the touchscreen on the right in Figure 5. Show that the node voltage at \((x_1, y_2)\) is the same that at \((x_2, y_2)\), i.e. the potential difference between the two points is 0. You can show this without explicitly calculating the node voltages at the two points.
If you were to connect a wire between the two coordinates \((x_1, y_2)\) in the touchscreen on the left, and \((x_2, y_2)\) in the touchscreen on the right, would any current flow through this wire?
Solution:
It will be helpful to first consider the circuit representation of this scenario to understand why the node voltages at the two points on each of the touch screens should be same (and therefore that the potential difference between points \((x_1, y_2)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) is 0).
Without calculating the node voltages, note that the ratio of the value of $R_{t1,(L-y2)}$ to $R_{t1,y2}$ is the same as the ratio of the value of $R_{t2,(L-y2)}$ to $R_{t2,y2}$:

$$\frac{R_{t1,y2}}{R_{t1,(L-y2)}} = \frac{\rho_1(y2)/(W_1 \cdot t)}{\rho_1(L-y2)/(W_1 \cdot t)} = \frac{y2}{L-y2}$$

$$\frac{R_{t2,y2}}{R_{t2,(L-y2)}} = \frac{\rho_2(y2)/(W_2 \cdot t)}{\rho_2(L-y2)/(W_2 \cdot t)} = \frac{y2}{L-y2}$$

Also note that the ratio of the resistors used in the voltage divider equations can be written as:

$$\frac{R_{t1,y2}}{R_{t1,(L-y2)} + R_{t1,y2}} = \frac{\rho_1(y2)/(W_1 \cdot t)}{\rho_1(L-y2)/(W_1 \cdot t) + \rho_1(y2)/(W_1 \cdot t)} = \frac{y2}{L}$$

$$\frac{R_{t2,y2}}{R_{t2,(L-y2)} + R_{t2,y2}} = \frac{\rho_2(y2)/(W_2 \cdot t)}{\rho_2(L-y2)/(W_2 \cdot t) + \rho_2(y2)/(W_2 \cdot t)} = \frac{y2}{L}$$

Because the voltage across the entirety of each of the individual touchscreens is the same: it is $V_s - 0$ or just $V_s$. The voltage $V_s$ is therefore divided between $R_{t1,(L-y2)}$ and $R_{t1,y2}$ exactly the same as it is divided between $R_{t2,(L-y2)}$ and $R_{t2,y2}$ because of the ratio argument presented above. Therefore, the potential difference between $u_1$ and $u_2$ will be 0, so long as the $y$-coordinate value is chosen to be the same.

This also means that there is no current flowing through the wire, since the points in the two touchscreens have the same potential. Therefore,

$$I_{12} = \frac{u_1 - u_2}{R_{wire}} = 0$$

We should note that what causes current to flow is a voltage difference. Here, we have a voltage difference of zero, so the current flowing through the wire is zero.

7. **Homework Process and Study Group**

Who did you work with on this homework? List names and student ID’s. (In case you met people at homework party or in office hours, you can also just describe the group.) How did you work on this homework? If you worked in your study group, explain what role each student played for the meetings this week.

**Solution:**

I first worked by myself for 2 hours, but got stuck on problem 5. Then I met with my study group.

XYZ played the role of facilitator ... etc. We were still stuck on problem 5 so we went to office hours to talk about the problem.

Then I went to homework party for a few hours, where I finished the homework.